



Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution

Kamil Dudka

Red Hat, Inc.

November 8th 2016

`<kdudka@redhat.com>`

How to find programming mistakes efficiently?

0 users (preferably volunteers)



1 Automatic Bug Reporting Tool



2 code review, automated tests

+++git

3 static analysis!



Static Analysis

- is a good alternative to testing,
- can detect bugs fully **automatically**,
- can detect bugs before the code even runs!

Agenda

1 Terminology

2 Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution

Linux Distribution

- operating system (OS)
- based on the Linux kernel
- a lot of other programs running in user space



- usually open source

Upstream vs. Downstream

- **upstream** SW projects – usually independent
- **downstream** distribution of upstream SW projects
 - Fedora and RHEL use the RPM package manager
 - Files on the file system owned by **packages**:
 - Dependencies form an oriented graph over packages.
 - We can query package database.
 - We can verify installed packages.



Fedora vs. RHEL

- **Fedora**
 - new features available early
 - driven by the community (developers, users, ...)

- **RHEL** (Red Hat Enterprise Linux)
 - stability and security of running systems
 - driven by Red Hat (and its customers)



Where do RPM packages come from?

- Developers maintain source RPM packages (SRPMs).
- Binary RPMs can be built from SRPMs using `rpmbuild`:

```
rpmbuild --rebuild git-2.6.3-1.fc24.src.rpm
```

- Binary RPMs can be then installed on the system:

```
sudo dnf install git
```

Reproducible builds

- Local builds are not reproducible.
- **mock** – chroot-based tool for building RPMs:

```
mock -r fedora-rawhide-i386 git-2.6.3-1.fc24.src.rpm
```

- **koji** – service for scheduling build tasks

```
koji build rawhide git-2.6.3-1.fc24.src.rpm
```

Agenda

1 Terminology

2 **Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution**

Static Analysis of a Linux Distribution

- approx. 150 Million lines of C/C++ code in RHEL-7
- huge number of (potential?) defects in certain projects
- thousands of packages developed independently of each other
- no control over technologies and programming languages
- no control over upstream coding style

Which static analyzers?

- Not many of them are ready for scanning a Linux distribution.
- Some analyzers are tweaked for a particular project (e.g. sparse for kernel).
- How to use multiple static analyzers easily?
- The **csmock** tool provides a common interface to GCC, Clang, Cppcheck, Shellcheck, Pylint, and Coverity.
- Besides C/C++, Java, and C#, **Coverity** now also analyzes dynamic languages (JavaScript, PHP, Python, Ruby).

Example – Defects Found by Coverity Analysis

Error: IDENTIFIER_TYPO: [#def1]

```
anaconda-21.48.22.90/pyanaconda/ui/gui/spokes/source.py:1388: identifier_typo: Using "mirrorlist" appears to be a typo:
* Identifier "mirrorlist" is only known to be referenced here, or in copies of this code.
* Identifier "mirrorlist" is referenced elsewhere at least 27 times.
anaconda-21.48.22.90/pyanaconda/packaging/__init__.py:1046: identifier_use: Example 1: Using identifier "mirrorlist".
anaconda-21.48.22.90/pyanaconda/packaging/yumpayload.py:732: identifier_use: Example 2: Using identifier "mirrorlist".
anaconda-21.48.22.90/pyanaconda/packaging/yumpayload.py:879: identifier_use: Example 3: Using identifier "mirrorlist".
anaconda-21.48.22.90/pyanaconda/packaging/yumpayload.py:726: identifier_use: Example 4: Using identifier "mirrorlist".
anaconda-21.48.22.90/pyanaconda/packaging/yumpayload.py:335: identifier_use: Example 5: Using identifier "mirrorlist".
anaconda-21.48.22.90/pyanaconda/ui/gui/spokes/source.py:1388: remediation: Should identifier "mirrorlist" be replaced by "mirrorlist"?
# 1386|         url = self._repoUrlEntry.get_text().strip()
# 1387|         if self._repoMirrorlistCheckbox.get_active():
# 1388|->             repo.mirrorlist = proto + url
# 1389|         else:
# 1390|             repo.baseurl = proto + url
```

Error: NESTING_INDENT_MISMATCH: [#def2]

```
infinipath-psm-3.3-19_g67c0807_open/psm_diags.c:284: parent: This 'if' statement is the parent, indented to column 5.
infinipath-psm-3.3-19_g67c0807_open/psm_diags.c:285: nephew: This 'if' statement is nested within its parent, indented to column 7.
infinipath-psm-3.3-19_g67c0807_open/psm_diags.c:286: uncle: This 'if' statement is indented to column 7, as if it were nested
within the preceding parent statement, but it is not.
# 284|         if (src == NULL || dst == NULL)
# 285|             if (src) psmi_free(src);
# 286|->             if (dst) psmi_free(dst);
# 287|             return -1;
# 288|         }
```

Error: COPY_PASTE_ERROR (CWE-398): [#def3]

```
gnome-shell-3.14.4/js/ui/boxpointer.js:517: original: "resX -- x2 - arrowOrigin" looks like the original copy.
gnome-shell-3.14.4/js/ui/boxpointer.js:536: copy_paste_error: "resX" in "resX -- y2 - arrowOrigin" looks like a copy-paste error.
gnome-shell-3.14.4/js/ui/boxpointer.js:536: remediation: Should it say "resY" instead?
# 534|         ) else if (arrowOrigin >= (y2 - (borderRadius + halfBase))) {
# 535|             if (arrowOrigin < y2)
# 536|->                 resX -- (y2 - arrowOrigin);
# 537|                 arrowOrigin = y2;
# 538|         }
```

Example – A Defect Found by ShellCheck

```
Error: SHELLCHECK_WARNING: [#def4]
/etc/rc.d/init.d/squid:136:10: warning: Use "${var:?}" to ensure this never expands to /* . \[SC2115\]
# 134|         RETVAL=$?
# 135|         if [ $RETVAL -eq 0 ] ; then
# 136|->             rm -rf $SQUID_PIDFILE_DIR/*
# 137|                 start
# 138|         else
```

<https://github.com/koalaman/shellcheck/wiki/SC2115>

What is important for developers?

The static analysis tools need to:

- be fully automatic
- provide reasonable signal to noise ratio
- be approximately as fast as compilation of the package
- deliver results in a predictable amount of time \implies timeouts!

Research Prototypes

- Researchers are done when their tool works on a few examples of their choice. (phase 0)
- SW companies are interested in tools that can reliably process a significant amount of their code base. (phase 1)
- 99% of work on developing a successful tool is the transition: phase 0 \longrightarrow phase 1
- Competition on Software Verification (SV-COMP):
<https://sv-comp.sosy-lab.org/2016/results/results-verified/>

Priority Assessment Problem

- Developers say:

"I have 200+ already known bugs in my project waiting for a fix. Why should I care about additional bugs that users are not aware of yet?"

- Not all defects are equally important to be fixed!
- Scoring systems like CWE (Common Weakness Enumeration)
- ... but none of them is universally applicable.

Differential scans

- We know that our packages contain a lot of potential bugs.
- It is easy to create new bugs while trying to fix existing bugs.
- Which bugs were **added/fixed** in an update of something?
- An example using the `csbuild` utility – **demo** (GNU nano):

```
csbuild -c "make -j9"  
csbuild -g v2.7.0..master -c "make -j9"  
csbuild -g v2.7.0..master --git-bisect \  
-c "make clean && make -j9"
```

Upstream vs. Enterprise

Different approaches to (differential) static analysis:

Upstream – Fix as many defects as possible.

- False positive ratio increases over time!

Enterprise – Verify code changes in ancient SW.

- 5–10% of defects are usually detected as new in an update.
- 5–10% of them are usually confirmed as real by developers.

Processing the Results of Static Analysis

- Some tools come with a user interface for waiving defects.
- Per-defect waivers do not scale for a Linux distribution.
- Certain developers prefer to use terminal over web browser.
- Utilities processing text line-by-line are not optimal for this:

`grep` → `csgrep`

`sort` → `cssort`

...

<https://github.com/kdudka/csdiff>

Continuous Integration

- It is expensive to fix bugs detected late in the release schedule.
- It is difficult and risky to fix bugs in already released products.
- We would like to catch bugs at the time they are created.
- An example using the `csbuild` utility – demo:

```
csbuild -c "./buildconf && ./configure && make -j9" \  
    --install libtool --git-bisect \  
    --gen-travis-yml > .travis.yml
```

```
git add .travis.yml  
git commit -m "notify me about newly introduced defects"  
git push
```

Slides Available Online

<https://kdudka.fedorapeople.org/muni16.pdf>